



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONFIRMATION CASE NO.2 OF 2015

The State of Maharashtra] Appellant
(Original Complainant)
Vs.
Kamal Ahmed Mohd. Vakil Ansari & Ors.] Respondent.

ALONGWITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.72 OF 2019

Mohamad Majid Mohamad Shafi] Appellant.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra] Respondent.

ALONGWITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.553 OF 2021

ALONGWITH

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.2458 OF 2021

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.553 OF 2021

Naveed Hussain Khan Rasheed]
Hussain Khan] Appellant.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra] Respondent.

ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.554 OF 2021
ALONGWITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.2459 OF 2021
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.554 OF 2021
Mohd. Faisal Aatur Rahman Shaikh] Appellant.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra] Respondent.

ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.555 OF 2021
ALONGWITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.2455 OF 2021
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.555 OF 2021
Suhail Mehmood Shaikh] Appellant.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra] Respondent.

ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.556 OF 2021
ALONGWITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.2456 OF 2021
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.556 OF 2021
Asif Khan Bashir Khan @ Juned @ Abdulla] Appellant.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra] Respondent

ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.557 OF 2021
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.2457 OF 2021
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.557 OF 2021
Ehtesham Qutubuddin Siddique] Appellant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra] Respondent

ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL (ST.)NO.6185 OF 2020
ALONGWITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.836 OF 2021
IN
APPEAL (ST.)NO.6185 OF 2020
ALONGWITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1383 OF 2020
IN
APPEAL (ST.)NO.6185 OF 2020
Zameer Ahmed Latifur Rehman]
Shaikh] Appellant.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra] Respondent.

ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL (ST) NO.6190 OF 2020
Tanveer Ahmed Mohd. Ibrahim Ansari] Appellant.
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra] Respondent.

ALONGWITH
 CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.71 OF 2019
 ALONGWITH
 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.418 OF 2019
 IN
 CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.71 OF 2019
 ALONGWITH
 INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1014 OF 2021
 IN
 CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.71 OF 2019
 ALONGWITH
 INTERIM APPLICATION NO.560 of 2021
 IN
 CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.71 OF 2019

Mohammad Sajid Margub Ansari] Appellant.

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra] Respondent.

.....

Mr. Raja Thakare, Senior Advocate-Special Public Prosecutor a/w Mr. A.M. Chimalkar, a/w Mr.Siddharth Jagushte a/w Ms Aaishwarya Sharma.

Ms Veera Shinde, APP for State and for Respondent (State) in all appeals.

Dr.Yug Mohit Chaudhry a/w Ms Payoshi Roy for respondent no.1, 4 and 5 in Confirmation Case No.2/2015.

Mr.Khan Abdul Wahab a/w Mr.Gaurav Bhawnani for respondent No.1 in Confirmation Case No.2/2015 and appellant in Appeal No.554/2021 and 555/2021.

Mr.Sharif Shaikh a/w Mr.Ansar Tamboli for respondent no.4 in Confirmation Case No.2/2015 and appellant in Appeal No.553/2021.

Mr.Aditya Mehta for respondent no.5 in Confirmation Case No.2/2015 and appellant in Appeal No.556/2021.

.....

**CORAM : SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV &
PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.J.**

DATE : 25TH JANUARY, 2022.

PC.:

1. At the outset, the learned Senior Advocate Mr. Raja Thakare submits that present case is pertaining to local train bomb blast of the year 2006. It is submitted that the case has voluminous record of about 179 Volumes and it would be practically impossible to conclude the hearing of the matter within a month or so. It is later submitted that the matter can not be heard by a Bench of which Shri Justice Prithviraj K. Chavan is a Member.
2. Mr. Yug Chaudhary, learned Counsel for respondent nos.1, 4 and 5 in Confirmation Case No.2 of 2015 has also submitted that he would make efforts to get the hearing expedited as far as possible by requesting the Honourable the Chief Justice of this Court to constitute a Special Bench for hearing this matter.
3. Stand over after 4 weeks.

[PRITHVIRAJ K. CHAVAN, J.]

[SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.]

